State 1
Security Information

8 April 1953

Report of Masting Between UTILITY and

UTILITY also objected to the fact that the LCFLUTTER tests have been turned into interrogations on operational matters. In this connection UTILITY brought up the case of SCHARTER, and said that he knew those questions had been submitted to me by that he failed to see how they were partiment to the testing of SCHARTER.

He expressed great dissatisfaction with the individuals picked out so far, and clearly understood that with persons of such dubious backgrounds any interrogation would turn out unfavorable incidents and facts. In this connection, UTELITY again brought up the case of SCHAEPPER, and explained that he (WYILITY) bimself distrusted SCHAEPPER and considered him as being only 50% on the level with the German organisation. Such persons, however, were often useful as long as you realised you could not trust them entirely.

I then explained that I, as a representative of security, obtionally had to cover SCHAEFFER's relations with PCHGER, VERNER, VERSELY, et all before it could be determined whether SCHAEFFER was a suitable similate security-wise, as well as otherwise.

This UTILITY also gareed with and stated that when he had objected to the specific questions asked SCHAEFFER previously he had not known sufficiently about the Laffutter process.

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3B2B NAZI WAR CRIMES BISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2007

Security information